

SEMISTABLE REDUCTION FOR MULTI-FILTERED VECTOR SPACES

SHIZHANG LI

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, $\mathcal{O}$ will be a valuation ring. We denote $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O})$ by K and $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$ by k . For an $\mathcal{O}$ module M , we denote $M_K = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K$ and $\overline{M} = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$. Also for an $\mathcal{O}/\pi$ module $\tilde{M}$ we still denote $\overline{M} = \tilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}/\pi} k$ for some $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$. Unless stated otherwise, all the modules considered in this paper will be finitely presented over corresponding ring.

Definition 1.1. A multi-filtered vector space $(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$ over a field F is a finite dimensional F -vector space V together with finitely many filtrations $\text{Fil}_i^\bullet, 1 \leq i \leq n$, such that for all i the filtration $\text{Fil}_i^\bullet$ is

- (1) decreasing,
- (2) indexed by natural numbers,
- (3) exhaustive and
- (4) separated:

$$V = \text{Fil}_i^0 \supseteq \text{Fil}_i^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \text{Fil}_i^{l_i} = 0.$$

For any subspace (resp. quotient) W of V , we give the obvious induced multi-filtration on them.

Definition 1.2. • The weight of a multi-filtered vector space is

$$w(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet) = \sum_i \sum_j j \cdot \dim(\text{Fil}_i^j / \text{Fil}_i^{j+1}).$$

- The slope of a multi-filtered vector space is defined as

$$\mu(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet) = \frac{w(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)}{\dim(V)}.$$

- A multi-filtered vector space $(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$ is called *semistable* if for any nonzero subspace $W \subseteq V$, we have $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$.

If M is an $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice of a multi-filtered K -vector space $(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$, we will still denote the induced filtration $\text{Fil}_i^\bullet \cap M$ on M by $\text{Fil}_i^\bullet$. And we use the notation $\overline{\text{Fil}_i^\bullet}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\text{Fil}_i^\bullet}$) to denote the induced filtration on $\overline{M}$ (resp. $\tilde{M} = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}/\pi$ for some $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$). We call such a M an *integral model* of $(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$.

The main theorem of this paper is the following analogue of Langton's theorem in the setting of multi-filtered vector spaces.

Theorem 1.3 (Main Theorem). *Let K be a valued field. For any semistable multi-filtered vector space $(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$, there exists an integral model $(M, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$ such that its reduction $\overline{M}$ with its induced filtration is again semistable.*

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

For technical reason, let us make the following definition.

Definition 2.1. A submodule of $\mathcal{O}^n$ (resp. $(\mathcal{O}/\pi)^n$) is said to be saturated if the quotient is flat over $\mathcal{O}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}/\pi$).

Definition 2.2. Let M be an $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice of a multi-filtered K -vector space $(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$ with its induced filtrations. Given a short exact sequence of k -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 0$$

we say it is *liftable modulo $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$* if there exists a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}/\pi$ -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{B} \rightarrow \widetilde{M} = M/(\pi M) \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \rightarrow 0$$

such that

- (1) $\widetilde{G}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}/\pi$
- (2) this sequence reduces to the above sequence and
- (3) $\widetilde{\text{Fil}}_i^j \cap \widetilde{B}$ surjects onto $\overline{\text{Fil}}_i^j \cap \overline{B}$.

Lemma 2.3. *If there is only one filtration $F^\bullet$ on M , then any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 0$ is liftable, i.e., there exists short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}$ -modules $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow M \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ such that*

- (1) G is flat over $\mathcal{O}$
- (2) this sequence reduces to the above sequence
- (3) $F^\bullet \cap B$ surjects onto $\overline{F^\bullet} \cap \overline{B}$.

Moreover, fix one lifting and splitting $M = B \oplus G$, then all different liftings are graphs of morphisms in $\mathfrak{m}\text{Hom}_{F^\bullet}(\overline{B}, \overline{G})$.

Proof. Choose a basis of $\overline{F^i} \cap \overline{B}$ and lift them inside F^i . Then we lift the rest of a basis of $\overline{B}$ arbitrarily. Let B be the sum of respective liftings. By construction B satisfies (2) and (3). Note that B is a saturated $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule in M , hence (1) is also satisfied.

If one has another lifting $0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow M \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$, then the projection from B' to B must be an isomorphism as guaranteed by Nakayama's lemma. Then this lifting B' is just a graph of some morphism $f \in \text{Hom}(B, G)$. (3) implies that this morphism must preserve induced filtration. (2) implies that this morphism must have target $\mathfrak{m}G$. So we see that $f \in \text{Hom}_{F^\bullet}(B, \mathfrak{m}G) = \mathfrak{m}\text{Hom}_{F^\bullet}(B, G)$. By the same reasoning any such f would give rise to a lifting satisfying (1), (2) and (3). $\square$

The second half of the lemma above can be generalized to multi-filtered situation in the following way:

Lemma 2.4. *In the situation of 2.2, suppose $0 \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 0$ is liftable modulo $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$, and fix a splitting $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{B} \oplus \widetilde{G}$. Then all different liftings correspond to graphs of morphisms in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}^\bullet}(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{G}) \cap \mathfrak{m}\text{Hom}(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{G})$.*

It's nice to prove the following lemma directly:

Lemma 2.5. *In the situation of the lemma above, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}^\bullet}(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{G})$ is always a finitely generated $(\mathcal{O}/\pi)$ -module.*

Proof. We will prove by induction on the number of filtrations. The homomorphisms preserve all the n filtrations are exactly those preserve the first $n - 1$ filtrations and preserve the last filtration. Hence as a submodule of $\text{Hom}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$ (which is abstractly isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}/\pi)^n$), we see that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}_1^\bullet, \dots, \text{Fil}_n^\bullet}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}_1^\bullet, \dots, \text{Fil}_{n-1}^\bullet}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G}) \cap \text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}_n^\bullet}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$$

By induction hypothesis we see that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}_1^\bullet, \dots, \text{Fil}_{n-1}^\bullet}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$ is a finitely generated submodule of $\text{Hom}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$ and by Lemma 2.3 $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}_n^\bullet}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$ is a saturated submodule of $\text{Hom}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$. Therefore it suffices to prove that the intersection of a finitely generated submodule H_1 and a saturated submodule H_2 of $H = (\mathcal{O}/\pi)^n$ is again finitely generated. Fix a splitting $H = H_2 \oplus H_3$, we see that $H_1 \cap H_2$ is the kernel of projection map $H_1 \rightarrow H_3$. This is finitely generated because $\mathcal{O}/\pi$ is a coherent ring. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $H = (\mathcal{O}/\pi)^N$. Let H_1 be a finitely generated submodule in H and let H_2 be a saturated finitely generated submodule in H . Then*

$$H/(H_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}H + \mathfrak{m}H_2) = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}/\pi_j \oplus \bigoplus \mathcal{O}/\pi'_i \mathfrak{m} \bigoplus (\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m})^{\oplus s},$$

where the direct sum is finite and $\pi'_i, \pi_j \in \mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$.

Proof. Because H_2 is saturated, we may assume that $H = H_2 \oplus H_3$. Then we see $H' := H/\mathfrak{m}H_2 = H_2/\mathfrak{m}H_2 \oplus H_3$, and let us fix a basis of H_3 as $\{f_i\}$. Notice that $\overline{H_1} \cap \mathfrak{m}\overline{H} = \overline{H_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}H}$, where “bar” of a module denote its image in $H/\mathfrak{m}H_2$. Let us consider the image of H_1 (suppose there are L generators) inside H' . Project further to $H'_2 := H_2/\mathfrak{m}H_2$, we may assume the image of the first l generators form a basis of the image in H'_2 . Without loss of generality we can now assume the image of the generators are of the form $e_i + \sum a_{ij}f_j$ and $\sum a_{ij}f_j$, where $e_1, \dots, e_l$ is the image of the first l generators.

Now let us perform Gauss elimination carefully as following: choose a_{ij} with smallest valuation (if it is possible then we would prefer to a choice with $i > l$), then do Gauss elimination to cancel all the other f_j coordinates appearing in other generators. Keep doing this procedure. After rearranging basis of both H'_2 and H_3 , we can assume the image of L generators of H_1 in H' are of the form $e_i + \pi'_i f_i$ and $\pi_j f_j$. Now we can finally conclude that the quotient is of the form as stated in this lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *In the situation of 2.2, there exists a $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$ with biggest valuation such that the sequence can be lifted modulo π .*

Proof. Let us do induction on the number of filtrations. Suppose there exists a π' with biggest valuation such that the first $n - 1$ filtrations are lifted, say, $0 \rightarrow \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{M} = M/(\pi M) \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow 0$. Let us fix a splitting $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B} \oplus \tilde{G}$. By Lemma 2.3, the n -th filtration can be lifted modulo π also. By the same reasoning as in Lemma 2.3, these two liftings differ by an $A \in \mathfrak{m}\text{Hom}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G}) := \mathfrak{m}H$. Both of these two liftings are not unique. They differ by elements in $H_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}H$ and $H_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}H = \mathfrak{m}H_2$, where $H_1 = \text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}_1, \dots, \text{Fil}_{n-1}}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$ which is finitely generated by Lemma 2.5 and $H_2 = \text{Hom}_{\text{Fil}_n}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{G})$ which is saturated. We see that by Lemma 2.6, $H/(H_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}H + \mathfrak{m}H_2) = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}/\pi_k \bigoplus \bigoplus \mathcal{O}/\pi'_k \mathfrak{m}$ in which residue of A lives. The coordinates of residue of A generate a principal ideal (π) in $(\mathcal{O}/\pi')$. Because $A \in \mathfrak{m}H$ we see that $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$. It is easy to see that π satisfies the lemma. $\square$

The following lemma will give another characterization of liftable modulo π .

Lemma 2.8. *Given $0 \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 0$ in the situation of 2.2. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *the sequence above is liftable modulo π*
- (2) *there exists a splitting $M = B \oplus G$ that reduces to the above sequence and for all $\overline{v} \in \overline{B} \cap \overline{\text{Fil}}_i$ there exists a lifting $v \in \text{Fil}_i$ such that $p_2(v) \in \pi G$ where p_2 is the obvious projection onto G .*
- (3) *the same as above except $\overline{v}$ only have to run over a set of chosen basis of the induced filtration.*

Proof. (2) and (3) are obviously equivalent by finiteness of dimension and linearity.

(1) implies (2): liftable means one can find splitting $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B} \oplus \tilde{G}$, lift this splitting over $\mathcal{O}$ we see that (2) is fulfilled.

(2) implies (1): one just modulo this lifting by π . □

Now we are ready to prove the analogue of Langton's theorem for multi-filtered vector spaces.

Proof of the Main Theorem. Choose an arbitrary integral model of $(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$, let us denote it as $(M, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$. Then consider its reduction $\overline{M}$ with induced multi-filtrations. If it is semistable, then we are done. Otherwise, there is a maximal destabilizing subspace $\overline{B}$ inside $\overline{M}$ (cf. [Eve, Lemma 2.5]): $0 \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.7 there exists a π with biggest valuation, such that the sequence above is liftable modulo π . Then π is not 0 because $(V, \text{Fil}_i^\bullet)$ is semistable. Let us denote a lifting as $0 \rightarrow \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{M} = M/(\pi M) \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow 0$. This lifting gives us a splitting $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B} \oplus \tilde{G}$, we can and do lift this splitting further to get $M = B \oplus G$. Let $M' = \ker(M \rightarrow \tilde{G})$ be another integral model.

Now we have the following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\pi M}{\pi M'} = \frac{M}{M'} = \tilde{G} \rightarrow \frac{M'}{\pi M'} \rightarrow \frac{M'}{\pi M} = \tilde{B} \rightarrow 0$$

tensoring with κ gives

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{M'} \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow 0$$

After computing in the single filtered case we see that it is a sequence of multi-filtered vector spaces.

We claim that there is no splitting $\overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{M'}$ as multi-filtered vector spaces. Otherwise there exists such a splitting $\overline{h} : \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{M'}$. Then lift this splitting to a morphism $h : B \rightarrow \pi G$. Now let us consider the splitting $M = \Gamma_h \oplus G$. Let $\overline{v} \in \overline{B} \cap \overline{\text{Fil}}_i$, then by assumption we can lift $\overline{h}(\overline{v})$ to $v \in \text{Fil}_i$ and $h(p_1(v)) - p_2(v) \in \pi \mathfrak{m} G$. Hence under the new splitting $M = \Gamma_h \oplus G$, $p'_2(v) \in \pi' G$ for some $\pi' \in \pi \mathfrak{m}$ where $p'_2 = h \circ p_1 - p_2$ is the new obvious projection onto G . Now by Lemma 2.8 we can lift the original sequence modulo π' for some $\pi' \in \pi \mathfrak{m}$ contradicting our assumption that π has the biggest valuation modulo which one can lift the sequence.

Our last claim is that the maximal destabilizing subspace $\overline{B'}$ of $\overline{M'}$ has either slope or dimension less than $\overline{B}$. Denote the image of $\overline{B'}$ in $\overline{B}$ by $\text{Im}(\overline{B'})$. We have

$$\mu(\overline{B'}) \leq \frac{w(\overline{B'} \cap \overline{G}) + w(\text{Im}(\overline{B'}))}{\dim(\overline{B'})} \leq \frac{\dim(\overline{B'} \cap \overline{G}) \times \mu(\overline{B}) + \dim(\text{Im}(\overline{B'})) \times \mu(\overline{B})}{\dim(\overline{B'})} = \mu(\overline{B})$$

where the first equality can be obtained only if $\overline{B'} \cap \overline{G} = 0$. In that case, we see immediately that $w(\overline{B'}) \leq w(\text{Im}(\overline{B'}))$ where equality is obtained only if $\overline{B'}$ is a section of its image inside $\overline{B}$ as a multi-filtered vector space. Therefore if $\mu(\overline{B'}) = \mu(\overline{B})$ then $\overline{B'}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Im}(\overline{B'})$ (as two multi-filtered vector spaces) which is a proper subspace of $\overline{B}$ (by last paragraph). Hence in the situation of $\mu(\overline{B'}) = \mu(\overline{B})$ the dimension of $\overline{B'}$ must be strictly less than that of $\overline{B}$.

Because the ranges of slope and dimension are finite, after doing the procedure above finitely many times, we see that the reduction would become semistable. $\square$

3. PROPERNESS OF THE STACK OF SEMISTABLE MULTI-FILTERED VECTOR BUNDLES

In this section, let us make the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Fix two natural numbers s and n and s non-decreasing functions $l_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $l_i(0) = 0$ and $l_i(\infty) = n$, we call such a datum *type* and denote it as $(s, n; l_i)$.

Given a type $(s, n; l_i)$, we can consider the stack $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}$ which associates any scheme X the groupoid of multi-filtered rank n vector bundles of type $(s, n; l_i)$ on X , i.e., there are s decreasing exhaustive separated filtrations $\text{Fil}_i^\bullet$ satisfying the following two properties:

- (1) the graded pieces $\text{Gr}_i^j = \text{Fil}_i^j / \text{Fil}_i^{j+1}$ are flat over X ;
- (2) the ranks of Fil_i^j are $n - l_i(j)$.

It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)} = [\prod_i \text{Fl}(n; l_i) / \text{GL}_n]$. Therefore the stack $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}$ is an algebraic stack and it is separated and of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Because the HN polygon can only jump under specialization we see that there is an open subset $(\prod_i \text{Fl}(n; l_i))^{s.s.}$ in $\prod_i \text{Fl}(n; l_i)$ whose geometric fibers are semistable multi-filtered vector spaces. As being semistable is independent of choice of basis we see that this open subset defines an open substack $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}^{s.s.} = [(\prod_i \text{Fl}(n; l_i))^{s.s.}] / \text{GL}_n$ in $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}$ which is just the stack of rank n multi-filtered vector bundles of type $(s, n; l_i)$ whose geometric fibers are semistable.

As suggested by the title of this section, we have the following.

Theorem 3.2. $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}^{s.s.}$ is a proper algebraic stack over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. From the discussion before theorem we know that $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}^{s.s.}$ is an algebraic stack separated and of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. By our Theorem 1.3, we see the structure morphism $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}^{s.s.} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies the valuative criterion in [Sta17, Tag 0CL9]. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{(s, n; l_i)}^{s.s.}$ is proper over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ by [Sta17, Tag 0CLY]. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wants to thank his advisor Johan de Jong for telling him this elementary yet interesting problem and pointing out the application as in Section 3.

REFERENCES

- [Eve] Jan-Hendrik Evertse. The Harder-Narasimhan filtration of a multi-weighted vector space.
- [Sta17] The Stacks Project Authors. *stacks project*. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2017.